Faculty of Science and Engineering

School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences

2020-08

# Foraminifera of the Gault Clay Formation: An update

Hart, MB

https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/22496

10.1016/j.pgeola.2019.10.003 Proceedings of the Geologists' Association Elsevier BV

All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.

# 1 Foraminifera of the Gault Clay Formation: an update

- 2 Malcolm B. Hart, Thomas Fogerty and Christopher W. Smart
- 3 School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Plymouth, Drake
- 4 Circus, Plymouth PL4 8AA
- 5 Tel: +44 1752 584761;
- 6 Fax +44 1752 584776;
- 7 E-mail address: mhart@plymouth.ac.uk

8

- 9 ABSTRACT
- 10 The foraminifera of the Gault Clay Formation (Middle and Upper Albian) are
- 11 reviewed and their biostratigraphy compared to that of the standard ammonite-based
- 12 zonation and the original bed numbers that are used by most workers on the
- formation. The change from an aragonitic assemblage in the Lower Gault to an
- 14 assemblage dominated by agglutinated foraminifera in the Upper Gault is discussed
- in terms of changing palaeogeography and sea-level.

16

17 Keywords: Gault Clay Formation, Foraminifera, Taphonomy, Palaeoecology

18

19

- 1. Introduction
- 20 The Gault Clay Formation is a distinctive blue-grey mudstone that forms an
- 21 important component of the mid-Cretaceous succession of the United Kingdom.
- 22 Towards the South-West of England it passes laterally into the Upper Greensand
- Formation (Jukes-Browne and Hill, 1900; Drummond, 1970; Simmons et al., 1991;
- 24 Gallois and Owen, 2019 and references therein) while northwards there is a
- 25 transition into the Red Chalk of Norfolk, Lincolnshire and Yorkshire (Burrows et al.,
- 26 1890; Gallois and Morter, 1982; Mitchell, 1995; Owen, 1995).
- 27 In East Anglia, Gallois and Morter (1982) identified 19 beds (numbered G1-
- 28 G19). These beds appeared to record small-scale rhythms (ca. 1–2 m thick), often
- 29 with a phosphate-rich, nodular, basal bed. These rhythms are more weakly

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

developed in the Upper Gault Clay, as compared to the Lower Gault Clay. This scheme was rationalized by Gallois et al. (2016) with beds G1–G19 placed within seven distinctive sedimentary units (GE 1–7). How these two schemes relate to the initial bed numbers of the Gault Clay Formation is shown in Gallois et al. (2016, fig. 5) which shows how the various numbering schemes can be correlated across East Anglia and S.E. England (Weald and Folkestone).

The Gault Clay Formation is best known for its molluscan fauna (Casev in Smart et al., 1966; Morter and Wood, 1983) with ammonites providing both a viable and detailed biostratigraphy (Spath, 1923–1943; Casey, 1954a, b, 1957, 1961; Owen, 1958, 1963, 1971a, b, 1972, 1976, 1984). The earliest work on the Gault Clay Formation concentrated on the 'type locality' of Copt Point, Folkestone, where De Rance (1868), Price (1874a, b, 1876, 1879) and Jukes-Browne and Hill (1900) established the lithological succession of beds, numbered I-XIII, although initially it was only sub-divided into Beds I–XI: see Hart and Fox (in press) for a recent account of the history of the Copt Point investigations. It was realised, in the 1970s, that there were problems with the interpretation of the Copt Point succession, especially in the upper levels with landslides and solifluction having 'moved' parts of the overlying Glauconitic Marl and, in places, this had become confused with the glauconite-rich mudstones of Bed XII. This resulted in an error that created a mistaken view of the Bed XI – Bed XII – Bed XIII interval as well as the relationships across the Bed XIII – Glauconitic Marl boundary (Hart, 1973a, b). This confusion was resolved during construction of the Channel Tunnel both in the 1970s and, subsequently, in the main construction phase (1988-1991): see Carter and Hart (1977), Hart (1993, 2000) and Harris et al. (1996). This history has been described by Hart and Fox (in press, fig. 2) insofar as it impacted on the interpretation of both the hiatus at the base of the Cambridge Greensand and the overall interpretation of the Copt Point succession.

## 2. Foraminifera of the Gault Clay Formation

The foraminifera of the Gault Clay Formation are abundant, diverse and generally well-preserved. Chapman's classic work on the 'Foraminifera of the Gault of Folkestone' (Chapman, 1891–1898) was based, almost exclusively, on samples from Copt Point, using Beds I–XIII and measured distances within each unit. There is

some confusion that the nomenclature change, from using Beds I–XI to Beds I–XIII, occurred during his work and the new additions were not sampled to the same degree as the lower parts of the succession. It is not known how much of the earlier, mainly European, literature was available to Chapman although this may account for some of his variable use of taxa and other inconsistencies.

We owe our stratigraphical nomenclature to Alcide d'Orbigny and he is often portrayed as the 'father of micropalaeontology' (Vénec-Peyré, 2002, 2004). His 1840 memoir on the Upper Cretaceous of France and adjacent countries has remained one of the major contributions to the science. Reuss (1846, 1851, 1860, 1862, 1863), working mainly on German material, continued the description of the abundant foraminifera in Cretaceous assemblages. Many of the taxa used today date from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> Century, although the original illustrations of the individual foraminifera, which were acceptable at the time, continue to provide problems for taxonomic work. During the last 20 years of the 19th Century four major publications appeared, two of which are still considered the most important works in their particular fields. Chapman's (1891–1898) monograph on the foraminifera of the Gault Clay (Formation) of Folkestone, which recorded 265 species and varieties, has remained the only comprehensive work on the British Albian. He was preceded by Berthelin (1880) whose monograph on the Albian foraminifera of France described 39 new species, most of which were later recorded by Chapman from Folkestone. The two other important contributions were the monograph on Albian foraminifera by Egger (1900) and a paper on the Red Chalk of northern England by Burrows et al. (1890).

During the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century there was a significant hiatus in European research (Franke, 1925, 1928; Eichenberg, 1933a, b, 1935a, b; Brotzen, 1934, 1942; Marie 1938, 1941) with much of the research focusing on the higher levels of the Cretaceous succession rather than the Albian. The Cushman Laboratory for Foraminiferal Research was founded in Sharon, Massachussetts (USA) by Joseph Cushman and his name appears against countless taxa that were described or re-assessed by him and his co-workers. Oil exploration was also behind the work of Williams-Mitchell (1948) and this was the first publication on the application of micropalaeontology to oil exploration on-shore U.K. In post-war Europe

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

there was a sudden demand for micropalaeontological expertise and a wave of new 92 work began in N. W. Europe (ten Dam, 1947, 1948a, b. 1950; Bartenstein and Brand, 93 1949, 1951; Bartenstein, 1952, 1954, 1965; Bartenstein et al., 1957, 1966; Hofker, 94 95 1954, 1957; Barnard and Banner, 1953, 1981; Barnard, 1958, 1962, 1963; Moullade, 1960, 1966: Bartenstein and Bettenstaedt, 1962; Malapris, 1965; Malapris-Bizouard, 96 97 1967, 1974; Neagu, 1965; Jannin, 1967; Magniez-Jannin, 1975, 1981, 1983; Gawor-98 Biedowa, 1972, 1982; Carter and Hart, 1977; Price, 1977). In deeper-water facies 99 and in the Tethyan Realm, while there are a number of taxa in common with N. W. European successions, the assemblages are significantly different: see, for example, 100 101 Holbourn et al. (2001) and references therein. Since the early 1980s there has been a reduction of work relating to Albian (and Cenomanian) foraminifera (but see 102 Burnhill and Ramsay, 1981; Hart et al., 1989; King et al., 1989; Freig and Kemper, 103 1989; Meyn and Vespermann, 1994; and Hart, 2000). 104

One exception to this has been the collaborative work on the Kirchrode I and Il Boreholes in Germany (Fenner et al., 1996; Fenner, 2001a, b, c; Tyszka and Thies, 2001; Tyszka, 2006). In particular, Tyszka (2006) has presented a revised taxonomy for the Albian Gavelinellidae, prompted by the generic revisions introduced by Revets (1996, 2001). While these suggested revisions are significant, the approach adopted by Revets (a study of only holotypes, paratypes, syntypes, etc.) has highlighted the problems of Gavelinellidae phylogeny and the authors have, for simplicity, retained the use of Berthelina and Gavelinella for many of the Albian and Cenomanian taxa while accepting that these determinations are in need of further revision (as was begun by Tyszka, 2006). The degree of morphological variation (inflation of chambers, size and form of umbilical boss, strength of peri-umbilical ornament, etc.) through the succession is significant and almost none of these variations were considered by Revets in his typological approach. Tyszka's (2006) analysis goes some way towards developing a better understanding of this variability though there is still a need to look at this topic in terms of environmental and other changes.

#### 3. Investigated successions of the Gault Clay Formation.

In South-East England there are numerous locations where the Gault Clay 122 123 Formation can be sampled for micropalaeontological research (Fig. 1). Extending from Copt Point, near Folkestone, the outcrop includes locations in the South Downs 124 (e.g., Eastbourne), the North Downs (e.g., Sevenoaks), the Isle of Wight (both Culver 125 Cliff and Compton Bay), and the Chilterns (e.g., Mundays Hill Quarry). Added to the 126 127 exposures on the coast and in working (or disused) guarries are the numerous boreholes (e.g., Arlesey, Glyndebourne, Mundford 'C', etc.) that have intersected the 128 129 Gault Clay Formation. This is particularly true of the area close to, and within, the site of the Channel Tunnel (see Hart, 1993, 2000; Harris et al., 1996; Hart and Fox, 130 131 in press). 3.1. Glyndebourne Borehole 132 This borehole was drilled in 1973 during the mapping of the Lewes District (East 133 134 Sussex) by the British Geological Survey (Lake et al., 1987). The micropalaeontology was investigated by Harris (1982) and a brief report on the 135 136 foraminifera and ostracods published by Hart and Harris (2012). A more complete assessment of the foraminifera is in preparation. The biostratigraphy of the 137 138 Glyndebourne borehole, which is mainly based on ammonites and bivalves, was included in the British Geological Survey Memoir (Lake et al., 1987) for the Lewes 139 140 District. 3.2. Mundays Hill Quarry 141 This quarry, located in Bedfordshire (SP 93427 27985) exposes the contact between 142 143 the Gault Clay Formation and the underlying Woburn Sands. The guarry has 144 recently been 'refreshed' and a new succession (11.40 m thick) of the Gault Clay 145 Formation became available in 2018. Historical work has divided the succession into 5 beds (numbered upwards from the contact with the underlying Woburn Sands), 146 each of which is capable of further subdivision. The ammonite biostratigraphy was 147 determined by Owen (1972), who placed the base of the Gault Clay Formation within 148 the Spathi Subzone. One of the most important 'breaks' in the succession is above 149 the Intermedius Subzone, where Owen (1972) records the absence of the Daviesi 150 151 and Nitidus subzones, creating a significant hiatus between the Niobe Subzone and

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

the Cristatum Zone/Subzone. Subsequent work on the Bedfordshire successions has confirmed this hiatus (Gallois et al., 2016).

The Woburn Sands/ Gault Clay Formation boundary in Bedfordshire is highly variable and has been described by Lamplugh and Walker (1903), Lamplugh (1922), Owen (1972) and Smart (1997). Much of the debate concerns the age and nature of the Shenley Limestone, a shallow-water carbonate that is only intermittently present in the Leighton Buzzard area (Lamplugh, 1921; Eyers, 1992; Smart, 1997), though not presently seen in the Munday's Hill Quarry (Fogerty et al., 2019).

### 3.3. Copt Point (Folkestone).

The classic section at Copt Point remains a key reference, with the foraminiferal succession being described by Hart (1973b) in the Proceedings Volume of the conference on the 'Boreal Lower Cretaceous' organised in by Raymond Casey and Peter Rawson in 1972. As explained elsewhere the error in the Bed XI/XII/XIII analysis has now been corrected (Carter and Hart, 1977; Hart et al., 1989; Hart and Fox, in press).

## 167 3.4. Arlesey Borehole

The BGS Arlesey Borehole was drilled by the British Geological Survey in June 168 1991. It was located at TL18873463, which is only 40 m to the east of the former 169 170 Arlesey brickpit. With a total depth of 83.49 m it provided a complete succession of the Gault Clay Formation (thickness 57.35 m) as well as recording ~1.07 m of the 171 172 overlying Cambridge Greensand (Woods et al., 1995, fig. 2). The hiatus at the base of the Cambridge Greensand is clearly shown in the borehole log, as is the presence 173 174 of a layer of phosphate pebbles at the base of the Dispar Zone. Parts of the uppermost Gault Clay Formation were recorded as being noticeably un-fossiliferous 175 176 (Woods et al., 1995, pp. 274–276). Wilkinson, who studied the ostracods and foraminifera, also noted that, in places, the "impoverished microfauna for much of the 177 Upper Gault do not allow detailed biostratigraphical information" (Woods et al., 1995, 178 p. 276). It is noticeable that many of the ranges recorded in the distribution charts 179 (op. cit., figs 3, 4) are based on only a few records, with no data provided from the 180 Cambridge Greensand. The records of Globigerinelloides bentonensis, while 181

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

appearing to coincide with the Zone 6 'flood' of large specimens, are guite limited as this taxon has a much longer total range than is indicated. The same is true of species such as Citharinella pinnaeformis and Arenobulimina spp. In the samples collected from Arlesey, Barrington and the M11 Cambridge bypass, some of which were described by Hart (1973a), the assemblages appeared to be more 'normal' with all the diagnostic taxa present in the expected proportions and overall ranges. These data were directly comparable to, for example, the successions at Folkestone and in the BGS Glyndebourne borehole (Hart and Harris, 2012). In the Glyndebourne succession the assemblages of foraminifera in both the Gault Clay Formation and the transition to the overlying chalk was both diverse and abundant (Hart and Harris, 2012, figs 2, 3). This was also true of the ostracods (Hart and Harris, 2012, fig. 5) where the Dispar Zone contained all the diagnostic taxa. Direct comparisons to the Arlesey Borehole (Woods et al., 1995, fig. 3) are difficult as so few samples are recorded as containing diagnostic, or indeed any, taxa. Samples from the Arlesey Borehole, inspected by MBH, partly agree with the paucity of the assemblage though there are some discrepancies recorded. Zone 6a is probably not present, and that agrees with both Woods et al. (1995) and other work by the authors. This is not really surprising as Zone 6a has a well-known, but rather limited distribution, within the successions of the Channel Tunnel (and parts of Surrey) and the hiatus at the base of the Cambridge Greensand is clearly more significant than the comparable hiatus in the area of the Channel Tunnel.

203

204

209

210

211

#### 3.5. Mundford 'C' Borehole

This borehole, located in East Anglia north-west of Thetford, was studied by
Sandman (1986), though the work was never formally published. The assemblages
of foraminifera are comparable to those recorded from Munday's Hill Quarry (Fogerty
et al., 2019) and the Cambridge bypass (Hart and Fox, in press).

#### 4. Foraminiferal Zonation

The first meaningful attempt at a zonation of the Gault Clay Formation in the twentieth century was by Carter *in* Bruckshaw (1961) in which the distribution of key

foraminifera in the Albian – Turonian interval was presented (Fig. 2). When this preliminary zonation was published none of the taxa (listed as 1–45) were identified; the figure was published without a full caption! Indeed, even in the more complete Channel Tunnel Investigation by D.J. Carter in 1964–1966, many of the taxa were informally identified by number (e.g., Arenobulimina C.T.P. sp.45). Some taxa had names applied but these were regarded as 'tentative' as the pressure of time to get the correlation across the English Channel completed meant that taxonomic work was not a priority. Indeed, D.J. Carter had been selected for the task as a result of his industrial micropalaeontological experience (Hart and Bailey, 2013) rather than his research and publication record. MBH is still in possession of the original line drawings done by D.J. Carter, and the range of informal names used. In the caption to Figure 2, some of the valid names have been applied, though many remain either informal or provisional.

The zonation of the uppermost Gault Clay was confused by Hart (1973a, b), as explained in Hart and Fox (in press), but clarified in Carter and Hart (1977) after further work on borehole material recovered from the Dover/Folkestone area. This revised zonation (Fig. 3) was carried forward into the 'Stratigraphical Atlas of Fossil Foraminifera' (Hart et al., 1989). The numbering scheme (3 – 6 for the Gault Clay), adopted by Hart (1973a, b), Carter and Hart (1977) and Hart et al. (1989), derives from the original 1961 scheme.

Zone 3 (Middle Albian): Concurrent Range Zone, *Conorboides lamplughi* and *Epistomina spinulifera*. The assemblage in this zone is somewhat limited although those species that do occur are quite distinctive. *Conorboides lamplughi* is not found above Zone 3, but many of the other characteristic taxa (e.g., *Gavelinella tormarpensis*, *Hoeglundina chapmani*, *H. carpenteri*, *E. spinulifera* and *Arenobulimina macfadyeni*) continue into higher levels of the succession.

Zone 4 (Middle Albian): Concurrent Range Zone, *Hoeglundina carpenteri* and *Dorothia filiformis*. Within this zone *E. spinulifera* occurs in flood abundance and specimens are often very large and highly ornamented. This was demonstrated by Hart (1984, fig. 3) in a simple biometric analysis based on measurements of relatively large numbers of individuals. This is particularly seen in Beds VII – IX(lower)

in the Folkestone succession close to the Middle/Upper Albian boundary. The other taxa associated with this zone, such as *Hoeglundina carpenteri*, *H. chapmani* and *Dorothia filiformis*, continue above.

Zone 4a (Middle to Upper Albian transition): Concurrent Range Zone, *Epitomina spinulifera* and *Citharinella pinnaeformis*. This zone can either be missing or may be very thinly developed. Its recognition depends on the dwindling numbers of *E. spinulifera* (some of which may be reworked) and the early appearances of *Citharinella pinnaeformis*. Even though the latter species is very distinctive and (normally) easy to identify, even in fragments, it is un-common at the beginning of its range. It is unclear as to which species gives rise to *C. pinnaeformis*, and so an evolutionary boundary to Zone 4a cannot be identified. The other taxa associated with this zone include distinctive forms such as *Arenobulimina chapmani*, *Nodobacularia nodulosa* and *Spiroloculina papyracea*. The change-over from an assemblage with *Arenobulimina macfadyeni* to one with *A. chapmani* is also quite distinctive.

Zone 5 (Upper Albian): Assemblage zone of *Citharinella pinnaeformis*. Although this zone is 'named' after *C. pinnaeformis*, this species is usually quite rare and specimens often fragmentary. The remainder of the assemblage is, however, quite distinctive and includes *Arenobulimina chapmani* (which is the dominant species), *Tritaxia pyramidata*, *Quinqueloculina antiqua*, *Dorothia filiformis*, *Nodobacularia nodulosa*, *Spiroloculina papyracea* and the first occurrences of *Eggerellina mariae*. Also making an appearance is *Spiroplectinata annectens*, which often develops a quite distinctive growth style. With an initial triserial component, the greater part of the test is biserial but can, in the later growth stages, develop a uniserial pattern of slightly inflated chambers. This often gives the final specimen a rather bizarre, but distinctive, appearance (Fogerty et al., 2019, pl. 4, figs 7-9).

Zone 5a (Upper Albian): Concurrent Range Zone of *Citharinella pinnaeformis* and *Arenobulimina sabulosa*. The appearance of the quadriserial, strongly rugose, *A. sabulosa*, together with *Marssonella ozawai* alongside *C. pinnaeformis* makes a distinctive assemblage but it is often missing from Gault Clay successions as a result of an hiatus at this level. Near the upper end of its range *C. pinnaeformis* also

becomes even less common than previously, and one is often reliant on the identification of small fragments (which are, fortunately, quite characteristic). This may be a problem for the identification of this zone though the associated species are quite distinctive. Other associated species include *T. pyramidata*, *Berthelina intermedia* and *Arenobulimina chapmani*; the latter often being the most abundant species present.

Zone 6 (Upper Albian): Concurrent Range Zone of *Vaginulina mediocarinata* and *Arenobulimina frankei*. *Arenobulimina sabulosa* and *A. chapmani* are normally the dominant species, associated with *M. ozawai*. At this level *D. filiformis* and *N. nodulosa* are becoming quite rare. While planktic foraminifera are not part of this zonation, there is a distinctive 'flood' of *Globigerinelloides bentonensis* in the middle of Zone 6 (see Carter and Hart, 1977; Hart, 2000; Hart and Fox, 2019).

Zone 6a (uppermost Albian): Concurrent Range Zone of Arenobulimina sabulosa and Flourensina intermedia. This zone is characterized by a distinctive overlap of Albian and Cenomanian taxa. Typically Albian species include A. chapmani, A. sabulosa and A. frankei, in the lower part while gradually appearing upsection are typically Cenomanian taxa such as F. intermedia, A. advena, Gaudryina austiniana and Berthelina cenomanica. There are transitional forms present where the differences are quite subtle but highly distinctive. This includes transitional forms between A. chapmani and A. advena, A. sabulosa and A. anglica, Berthelina intermedia and B. cenomanica, most of which are parts of their respective evolutionary lineages (Bailey et al., 2009). There are also early forms of Plectina mariae and Berthelina baltica. As indicated by Hart and Fox (in press), Zone 6a has a 'patchy' distribution and is normally cut out by the discontinuity (erosion surface) at the Albian/Cenomanian boundary. It is best recorded in Channel Tunnel boreholes off-shore Folkestone and, as a result, its position within the ammonite stratigraphy is not known. In distribution it appears to be uppermost Albian and may, therefore, represent a part of the Stoliczkaia dispar Zone.

The overlying Zone 7 is typically associated with a range of taxa with known Cenomanian affinities and, when present, is characterised by the Glauconitic Marl at the base of the chalk succession in South-East England (especially in the

Dover/Folkestone area and in Channel Tunnel boreholes). Towards the west, and 305 306 the north, the age of the basal glauconitic chalk becomes younger as described by 307 Carter and Hart (1977) and Hart and Fox (in press). 308 5. Distribution of Aragonitic Foraminifera 309 One of the important groups of foraminifera recorded in the Gault Clay Formation are 310 the members of the Superfamily Robertinacea (Loeblich and Tappan, 1964). These 311 genera and species are now represented by the Ceratobuliminidea Cushman, 1927 312 following Loeblich and Tappan (1987). The Lower Cretaceous members of this 313 superfamily were described by Hart (1984), especially those recorded from the Gault 314 Clay Formation. The following species are recorded: 315 Conorboides lamplughi (SHERLOCK, 1914); Pulvinulina lamplughi SHERLOCK, 316 1914, p. 290, pl.19, fig.16. 317 318 Epistomina sp. cf. E. cretosa TEN DAM, 1947, p. 29, fig. 6. 319 Epistomina spinulifera (REUSS, 1862); Rotalia spinulifera REUSS, 1862, p. 93, pl.13, figs 3a-5c. 320 Hoeglundina carpenteri (REUSS, 1862); Rotalia carpenteri REUSS, 1862, p. 94, 321 322 pl.13, fig. 6a-c. Hoeglundina chapmani (TEN DAM, 1948); Pulvinulina caracolla (ROEMER), 323 CHAPMAN, 1898, p. 7, pl.1, fig. 9. 324 325 Epistomina cretosa is rarely described and there is a suspicion that it has probably 326 327 been included within E. spinulifera by some authors, as the two species are morphologically very similar. For example, Haig et al. (1996, fig. 7R, S) illustrate 328 329 highly ornamented forms attributed to *E. cretosa* that appear to be more closely 330 related to E. spinulifera. The primary difference between the two species is the 331 presence of a double keel in *E. cretosa* rather than the single, spinose keel in *E.* spinulifera. This double keel can be seen in the specimens figured by Hart (1984, pl. 332 333 2, figs 12, 16) but is not present in Haig et al.'s specimens. The Haig et al. (1996) assemblage of Albian foraminifera also includes specimens of Conorboides 334

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

*lamplughi* and *Epistomina chapmani* that are exceptionally close in appearance to the N.W. European taxa. This appears to indicate that some of these aragonitic taxa are cosmopolitan, as is much of the Albian assemblage in Western Australia.

All of these taxa are restricted (mainly) to the Lower Gault Clay and, in this part of the succession are present in large numbers. When plots of superfamilies (sensu Loeblich and Tappan, 1964 or 1987) are constructed, there is a very distinctive distribution of these aragonitic foraminifera within Beds I-IX (Lyelli Subzone to Orbignyi Subzone) of the Lower Gault Clay. This was noted by Hart (1973b) and Hart and Carter (1975), as was the similarity to the superfamily distribution in the Atherfield Clay succession (Lower Aptian) of southern England (Hart and Carter, 1975, text-fig. 3). The famous Atherfield Clay succession of the Isle of Wight was investigated by Crittenden (1988), but he only recorded very intermittent occurrences of H. chapmani in this succession below the Lower Lobster Bed, with nothing like the pattern of distribution recorded by Hart and Carter (1975). Crittenden also recorded H. chapmani in the Southern North Sea Basin, but this was also in low numbers. This Middle Albian distribution of the aragonitc foraminifera appears to occur in Kent (and parts of the Channel Tunnel which drilled into the Gault Clay Formation) as well as the eastern parts of Surrey. The more arenaceous Gault Clay of the Isle of Wight does not record the same distribution, but recent work in Bedfordshire (e.g., Munday's Hill Quarry; Fogerty et al., 2019, fig. 4) has shown that the general pattern, within the mudstone facies, is present north of the Thames.

Aragonitic foraminifera are characterised by a distinctive, lustrous test and, preserved in mudstones, are often in-filled with pyrite. In parts of the Jurassic succession, only the pyritic infillings remain, the aragonitic test having been lost during taphonomy and burial. One must ask, therefore, whether the distribution of the aragonitic foraminifera in the Gault Clay Formation is a primary signal or whether it is one dominated by taphonomy?

Aragonitic foraminifera are normally associated with mudstone successions in the geological record (Makrides, 1979; Haig et al., 1996; McMillan, 2008). They are often found alongside ammonites and other molluscs that also show aragonite preservation while, in a lithology such as the chalk, aragonitic fossils are normally

absent. Mudstone successions are often aquacludes, having been compacted by 70–80% as a result of de-watering and burial. Hart et al. (2019, fig. 6) showed that sand (or silt) rich sediments do not compact to the same degree, thereby allowing the flow of groundwater through the sediment, removing the aragonitic (and some calcitic) foraminifera. Selective preservation of aragonitic foraminifera in compacted mudstones is, therefore, to be expected. Counts of benthic foraminifera based on samples of compacted mudstones, which if reduced in thickness by 70–80%, must represent large numbers of annual cycles though the ratio of aragonitic taxa to calcareous and agglutinated taxa will hopefully remain indicative of the original assemblages.

In the Jurassic strata of the Dorset Coast, Oxford et al. (2000, 2004) showed that the fluctuations in the numbers of aragonitic and other foraminifera might represent sequences and parasequence with larger numbers of epsitominids often being associated with zones of maximum flooding. The same patterns also seem to have been preserved within the Speeton Clay Formation (Hart et al., 2009).

The 'Gault Clay Cycles' identified by Gallois and Morter (1982) may be parasequences as they appear to be laterally persistent. The higher percentages of aragonitic foraminifera in the Lower Gault Clay (and the Lower Atherfield Clay; Hart and Carter, 1975) certainly indicate that the lower parts of the Gault Clay Formation and the Atherfield Clay Formation were suitable for the preservation of aragonitic foraminifera. This is not the case in the Gault Clay succession of the Isle of Wight where aragonitic foraminifera are missing and, when sieved, the mudstones have a higher sand and silt component. Whether this is a primary exclusion from a more sandy/silty environment or a preservational affect is impossible to say, though it may be significant that not even the pyrite moulds of aragonitic foraminifera are recorded in these locations.

#### 6. Palaeoecology and Palaeogeography

If the distribution of aragonitic foraminifera is a primary, palaeoecological signal, what other changes in the assemblage provides supporting evidence? Agglutinated taxa are very much more abundant after the Cristatum Zone and this is true at

Folkestone (Fig. 3), Munday's Hill Quarry (Fogerty et al., 2019, fig. 4) and in the Glyndebourne borehole (Fig. 4). Species such as *Arenobulimina*, *Marssonella*, *Tritaxia* and *Cribrostomoides* all require clastic material with which to construct their tests and the occurrence of – predominantly – silt-grade sediment is more abundant above the Cristatum Zone. While one must be cautious in using planktic foraminifera, and planktic/benthic ratios, as a guide to water depth it is clear that, especially in the smaller size fractions, planktic taxa increase in abundance up-section (above the Cristatum Zone).

This general increase in planktic foraminifera (e.g., Muricohedbergella delrioensis, M. infracretacea, M. planispira) up-section has been recorded across South-East England, including Munday's Hill Quarry (Bedfordshire); see Fogerty et al. (2019, fig. 7). With the same pattern discernable across much of South-East England, this appears to be a basin-wide feature and must be linked to large scale changes in either water depth or palaeogeography. There has been little in the way of detailed discussion of Albian palaeogeography since the publication of the 'Atlas of Palaeogeography and Lithofacies' (Hancock and Rawson in Cope et al., 1992). The only map for the interval occupied by the Gault Clay Formation is for the Upper Albian (Hancock and Rawson, 1992, map K3, p. 137) which shows the 'Red Chalk' to the north and the greensand facies to the south-west. The Weald Basin and Channel Basin are in open connection to the Paris Basin (to the south) and this is demonstrated by the similarity in foraminiferal assemblages in South-East England, the Paris Basin and, across the Southern North Sea Basin (King et al., 1989), in the Netherlands and North Germany.

The base of the Gault Clay Formation is highly transgressive and this may well be a sequence boundary. The Lower Gault Clay and Upper Gault Clay are quite distinctive, separated by horizons of phosphate pebbles in the Cristatum Zone. In Bedfordshire the boundary is also a significant hiatus with the Nitidus and Daviesi subzones missing. The more silt-rich Upper Gault Clay, with its more abundant and diverse agglutinated foraminiferal assemblage and increasing numbers of planktic foraminifera may represent a major flooding event (Transgressive Systems Tract, TST), which resulted in the development of a Highstand Systems Tract (HST).

427	
428	7. Summary
429	The distribution of foraminifera in the Gault Clay Formation is shown to provide a
430	viable tool for regional correlation. Many of the significant changes in the
431	assemblage are shown to coincide with known hiatuses in the ammonite succession
432	and the presence of phosphate concentrations. The Lower Gault Clay, in the
433	mudstone facies, is always characterized by the presence of aragonitic foraminifera,
434	often showing exquisite preservation. Of particular interest is the presence of
435	comparable assemblages in the Indian Ocean (offshore South Africa) and in the
436	Carnarvon Basin of Western Australia which suggests that some of these taxa, in
437	appropriate facies, are quite cosmopolitan.
438	
439	Acknowledgements
440	TF acknowledges the help provided by Gary McNeely and Aggregate Industries in
441	providing access to Munday's Hill Quarry. Dr Steve Stukins and Dr Haydon Bailey
442	facilitated the microscope work undertaken by TF at the Natural History Museum
443	(London). Mr Tim Absalom (University of Plymouth) is thanked for providing the final
444	versions of the figures.
445	
446	References
447	Bailey, H.W., Hart, M.B., Swiecicki, A., 2009. Evolutionary lineages of benthic
448	foraminifera in the chalk seas of N. W. Europe and their application to problem
449	solving. In: Demchuk, T.D., Gary, A.C. (eds), Geologic Problem Solving with
450	Microfossils: A Volume in Honor of Garry D. Jones, SEPM Special Publication
451	93, 233–249.
452	Barnard, T.,1958. Some Mesozoic adherent foraminifera. Palaeontology 2, 1–27.
453	Barnard, T.,1962. Polymorphinidae from the Upper Cretaceous of England.
454	Palaeontology 1, 116–124.

155 156	Pseudotextulariella from the Chalk of England. Palaeontology 6, 41–54.
157	Barnard, T., Banner, F.T.,1953. Arenaceous Foraminifera from the Upper
158	Cretaceous of England. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society, London
159	109, 173–216.
160	Barnard, T., Banner, F.T.,1981. The Ataxophragmiidae of England: Part 1, Albian-
161	Cenomanian Arenobulimina and Crenaverneuilina. Revista Española de
162	Micropaleontologia 12, 383–430.
163	Bartenstein, H., 1952. Taxonomische Bemerkungen zu den Ammobaculites,
164	Haplohphragmium, Lituola und verwandten Gattungen. Senckenbergiana 33,
165	313–342.
166	Bartenstein, H., 1954. Revision von Berthelin's Mémoire 1880 über die Alb-
167	Foraminiferen von Montcley. Senckenbergiana Lethaea 35(1-2), 37-50.
168	Bartenstein, H., 1959. Feinstratigraphisch wichtige Ostracoden aus dem
169	nordwestdeutschen Valendis. Paläontologische Zeitschrift 33, 224–242.
170	Bartenstein, H.,1965. Taxinomische Revision und Nomenklatur zu Franz E. Hecht
171	'Standard-Gliederung der nordwestdeutschen Unterkreide nach Foraminiferen'
172	(1938). Senckenbergiana Lethaea, Frankfurt 46, 327–366.
173	Bartenstein, H., Brand, E., 1949. New genera of Foraminifera from the Lower
174	Cretaceous of Germany and England. Journal of Paleontology 23(6), 669-672.
175	Bartenstein, H., Brand, E., 1951. Mikropaläontologische Untersuchungen zur
176	Stratigraphie des nordwest-deutschen Valendis. Abhandlungen der
177	Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft 485, 239-336.
178	Bartenstein, H., Bettenstaedt, F., 1962. Marine Unterkreide (Boreal und Tethys). In:
179	Simon, W., Bartenstein, H. (eds), Leitfossilien der Mikropaläontologie, Berlin,
180	225–297.

481	Bartenstein, H., Bettenstaedt, F., Bolli, H. M., 1957. Die Foraminiferen der
482	Unterkreide von Trinidad, B. W. I. Erster Teil: Cucheund und Toco Formation.
483	Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae 50, 5–67.
484	Bartenstein, H., Bettenstaedt, F., Bolli, H. M., 1966. Die Foraminiferen der
485	Unterkreide von Trinidad, B. W. I.: Maridale Formation (Typlokalitat). Eclogae
486	Geologicae Helvetiae 59, 129–178.
487	Berthelin, G.,1880. Mémoire sur les Foraminifères de l'Étage Albien de Montcley
488	(Doubs). Mémoire de la Société Gélogique de France, Paris (3), 1(5), 1–84.
489	Brotzen, F.,1934. Foraminiferen aus dem Senon Palastinas. Zeitschrift des
490	Deutschen Palästina-Vereins 57(1), 28–72.
491	Brotzen, F., 1942. Die Foraminiferengattung Gavelinella nov. gen. und die
492	Systematik des Rotaliiformes. Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning 36(8), 1–60.
493	Bruckshaw, J.M., Goguel, J., Harding, H.J.B., Malcor, R., 1961. The work of the
494	Channel Study Group, 1958-60. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil
495	Engineers 18, 149–178.
496	Burnhill, T.J., Ramsay, W.V., 1981. Mid-Cretaceous palaeontology and stratigraphy,
497	Central North Sea. In; Petroleum Geology of the north-west European
498	Continental Shelf, Institute of Petroleum, London, 245–254.
499	Burrows, H.W., Sherborn, C.D., Bailey, G., 1890. The foraminifera of the Red Chalk
500	of Yorkshire, Norfolk and Lincolnshire. Journal of the Royal Microscopical
501	Society, London 8, 549–566.
502	Carter, D.J., Hart, M.B., 1977. Aspects of mid-Cretaceous stratigraphical
503	micropalaeontology. Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)
504	29, 1–135.
505	Casey, R., 1954a. Falciferella, a new genus of Gault Ammonites, with a review of the
506	family Aconeceratidae in the British Cretaceous. Proceedings of the Geologists
507	Association 65(3), 262–277.

508 509	Casey, R., 1954b. New genera and subgenera of Lower Cretaceous ammonites.  Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 44, 106–115.
510 511	Casey, R., 1957. The Cretaceous Ammonite genus <i>Leymeriella</i> , with a systematic account of its British occurrences. Palaeontology 1, 28–59.
512 513	Casey, R., 1961. The stratigraphical palaeontology of the Lower Greensand.  Palaeontology 3, 487–621.
514 515	Casey, R., 1965. A monograph of the Ammonoidea of the Lower Greensand. Part VI. Palaeontographical Society, London (Monograph), 399–546.
516 517 518	Casey,R., 1966. Palaeontology of the Gault. In; Smart, J.G.O., Bisson, G., Worssam, B.C. (eds), Geology of the country around Canterbury and Folkestone, British geological Survey, Memoir, HMSO, London, 102–113.
<ul><li>519</li><li>520</li><li>521</li><li>522</li><li>523</li></ul>	Chapman, F., 1891–1898. The foraminifera of the Gault of Folkestone. Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society, London (Part 1) 1891, 565–575; (Part 2) 1892, 319–330; (Part 3) 1892, 749–758; (Part 4) 1893, 579–595; (Part 5) 1893, 153–163; (Part 6) 1894, 421–427; (Part 7) 1894, 645–654; (Part 8) 1895, 1–14; (Part 9) 1896, 581–591; (Part 10) 1898, 1–49.
524 525 526	Crittenden, S., 1988. The lithostratigraphy and biostratigraphy (Foraminifera) of the Early Cretaceous of the Southern North Sea Basin. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Council for National Academic Awards (CNAA), University of Plymouth.
527 528 529	Dam, A. ten,1947. On foraminifera of the Netherlands No. 9. Sur quelques espèces nouvelles ou peu connues dans le Crétacé Inférieur (Albien) des Pays-Bas. Geologie Mijnbouw, n.s., 8, 25–29.
530 531	Dam, A. ten, 1948a. Foraminifera from the Middle Neocomian of the Netherlands. Journal of Paleontology 22, 175–192.
532 533	Dam, A. ten, 1948b. Les espèces du genre <i>Epistomina</i> Terquem, 1883. Revue de l'Institut Français du Pétrole, Paris, n.s., 3(6), 161–170.
534 535	Dam, A. ten,1950. Les Foraminifères de l'Albien des Pays-Bas. Memoires de la Société Géologique de France, Paris, n.s., 29(63), 1–66.

536 537	De Rance, 1868. On the Albian or Gault of Folkestone. Geological Magazine 5, 163-171.
538	Drummond, P.V.O., 1970. The Mid-Dorset Swell. Evidence of Albian-Cenomanian
539	movements in Wessex. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 81, 679-
540	714.
541	Egger, J.G.,1900. Foraminiferen und Ostrakoden aus dem Kreidemergeln der
542	oberbayerischen Alpen. Abhandlungen, Bayerische Akademie der
543	Wissenschaften, Munich 21, 1–230.
544	Eichenberg, W.,1933a. Die Foraminiferen der Unterkreide. 1 Folge. Foraminiferen
545	aus dem Albien von Weden am Mittellandkanal. Jahresbericht der
546	Neidersächsiche Geologische Verein 25, 1–32.
547	Eichenberg, W., 1933b. Die Foraminiferen der Unterkreide. 2 Folge. Foraminiferen
548	aus dem Barreme von Weden am Mittellandkanal. Jahresbericht der
549	Neidersächsiche Geologische Verein 25, 167–200.
550	Eichenberg, W.,1935a. Die Foraminiferen der Unterkreide. 3 Folge. Foraminiferen
551	aus dem Hauterive von Weden am Mittellandkanal. Jahresbericht der
552	Neidersächsiche Geologische Verein 26, 150–196.
553	Eichenberg, W., 1935b. Die Foraminiferen der Unterkreide. 4 Folge. Foraminiferen
554	aus dem Apt von Weden am Mittellandkanal. Jahresbericht der
555	Neidersächsiche Geologische Verein 27, 1–40.
556	Eyers, J., 1992. Sedimentology and palaeoenvironment of the Shenley Limestone
557	(Albian, Lower Cretaceous); an unusual shallow-water carbonate. Proceedings
558	of the Geologists' Association 103, 293–302.
559	Fenner, J., 2001a. Middle Albian geography, oceanography and climate and the
560	setting of the Kirchrode I and II borehole sites. Palaeogeography,
561	Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 174, 5–32.

562	Fenner, J., 2001b. Kirchrode I and II boreholes: technical details and evidence on
563	tectonics, and palaeoceanographic development during the Albian.
564	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 174, 33–65.
565	Fenner, J., 2001c. Palaeoceanographic and climate changes during the Albian,
566	summary of the results from the Kirchrode boreholes. Palaeogeography,
567	Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 174, 287–304.
568	Fenner, J., Bruns, A., Cepek, P., Köthes, A., Owen, H.G., Prauss, M., Riegel, W.,
569	Thies, A., Tyszka, J., Weiss, W., Wiedmann, J.,1996. Paleontological results
570	from the Boreal Albian (boreholes Kirchrode I and II), biostratigraphy,
571	paleoenvironment and cycle analysis. In: Reitner, J., Neuweiler, F. and Gunke
572	F. (Eds.), Global and regional controls on biogenic sedimentation, Part II.
573	Cretaceous sedimentation. Göttinger Arbeiten Geologie Paläontologie, Sb2,
574	Universität Göttingen, 5–12.
575	Fogerty, T., Hart, M.B., Page, K.N., Smart, C.W., 2019. The foraminiferal
576	biostratigraphy and palaeoenvironmental interpretation of the Gault Clay
577	Formation (Middle and Upper Albian) at Munday's Hill Quarry, Bedfordshire.
578	Micropaleontology, (in press).
579	Franke, A.,1925. Die Foraminiferen der pommerschen Kreide. Abhandlungen aus
580	dem Geologisch-Paläontologischen Institut der Universität Griefswald 6, 1–96
581	Franke, A., 1928. Die Foraminiferen der Oberen Kreide Nord- und
582	Mitteldeutschlands. Abhandlungen der Preussischen Geologischen
583	Landesanstalt, Berlin, Neue Folge 111, 1–207.
584	Frieg, C., Kemper, E.,1989. Mikropaläontologische Gliederung und Abgrenzung vor
585	Ober-Alb und Unter-Cenoman in Nordwestdeutschland. Geologisches
586	Jahrbuch A 113, 73–192.
587	Gallois, R.W., Morter, A.A., 1982. The stratigraphy of the Gault of East Anglia.
588	Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 93, 351–368.

589	Gallois, R.W., Morter, A.A., Owen, H.G., 2016. The stratigraphy of the Gault
590	Formation (Early Cretaceous, Albian) in East Anglia and south-east England.
591	Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 127(5), 606–628.
592	Gawor-Biedowa, E., 1972. The Albian, Cenomanian and Turonian foraminifers of
593	Poland and their stratigraphic importance. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 17,
594	3–174.
595	Gawor-Biedowa, E.,1982. Biostratigraphy of the Albian Cenomanian and Turonian
596	deposits of western and central Poland based on the occurrence of foraminifers
597	– Biuletyn Instytutu Geologicznego 329(11), 63–138 [in Polish with English and
598	Russian summaries].
599	Haig, D.W., Watkins, D.K., Ellis, G., 1996. Mid-Cretaceous calcareous and siliceous
600	microfossils from the basal Gearle Siltstone, Giralia Anticline, Southern
601	Carnarvon Basin. Alcheringa 20(1), 41–68.
602	Hancock, J.M., Rawson, P.F., 1992. Cretaceous. In: Cope, J.C.W., Ingham, J.K.,
603	Rawson, P.F. (eds), Atlas of palaeogeography and lithofacies, Geological
604	Society, London, Memoir 13, 131–139.
605	Harris, C.S., 1982. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Council for National Academic Awards,
606	Plymouth Polytechnic (now University of Plymouth).
607	Harris, C.S., Hart, M.B., Varley, P.M., Warren, C.D. (eds), 1996. Engineering
808	Geology of the Channel Tunnel. Thomas Telford, London.
609	Hart, M. B., 1973a. Foraminiferal evidence for the age of the Cambridge Greensand.
610	Proceedings of the Geologists' Association, London 84, 65–82.
611	Hart, M. B., 1973b. A correlation of the macrofaunal and microfaunal zonations of the
612	Gault Clay in southeast England. In: Casey, R., Rawson, P.F. (eds), The Borea
613	Lower Cretaceous, Geological Journal, Special Issue, 5, 267–288.
614	Hart, M.B., 1984. The Superfamily Robertinacea in the Lower Cretaceous of the UK
615	and adjacent areas of NW Europe. In: Oertli, H.J. (Ed.), Benthos '83, 2 <sup>nd</sup>

616 617		International Symposium on Benthic Foraminifera (Pau, April 1983), Elf Aquitaine, Esso REP and Total CFP, Pau and Bordeaux, 289–298.
618 619 620	Hart,	M. B., 1993. Engineering and economic geology. In: Jenkins, D.G. (Ed.), Applied Micropalaeontology, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 225–262.
621 622 623	Hart,	M. B., 2000. Foraminifera, sequence stratigraphy and regional correlation; an example from the uppermost Albian of Southern England. Revue de Micropaléontologie 43, 27–45.
624 625 626 627 628	Hart,	M.B., Bailey, H.W., 2013. Key figures from the history of research on the Foraminifera of the Chalk Group in the UK. In: Bowden, A.J., Gregory, F.J., Henderson, A.S. (eds), Landmarks in Foraminiferal Micropalaeontology: History and Development, The Micropalaeontological Society, Special Publications, Geological Society, London, 85–102.
629 630	Hart,	M.B., Carter, D.J., 1975. Some observations on the Cretaceous Foraminiferida of Southeast England. Journal of Foraminiferal Research 5(2), 114–126.
631 632 633	Hart,	M.B., Harris, C.S., 2012. Albian–Cenomanian foraminifera and Ostracoda from the Glyndebourne Borehole, Sussex, U.K. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 266, 319–335.
634 635 636	Hart,	M.B., Fox, L.F., ( <i>in press</i> ). Micropalaeontology and stratigraphical setting of the Cambridge Greensand. Proceedings of the International Symposium on the Cretaceous System, Wien, 2017.
637 638 639	Hart,	M.B., Bailey, H.W., Crittenden, S., Fletcher, B.N., Price, R.J., Swiecicki, A., 1989. Cretaceous. In: Jenkins, D.G., Murray, J.W. (eds), Stratigraphical Atlas of Fossil Foraminifera [2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition], Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester, 273–371.
640 641 642 643	Hart,	M.B., Price, D.G., Smart, C.W., 2009. Foraminifera and sequence stratigraphy of the lower part of the Speeton Clay Formation (Lower Cretaceous) in N.E. England. Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museum in Wien, Serie A, 110A, 423–442.

Hart, M.B., Page, K.N., Price, G.D., Smart, C.W., 2019. Reconstructing the Christian 644 Malford ecosystem in the Oxford Clay Formation (Callovian, Jurassic) of 645 Wiltshire: exceptional preservation, taphonomy, burial and compaction. Journal 646 647 of Micropalaeontology 38, 133-142. Hofker, J., 1954. Uber die Familie Epistomariidae, (Foram), Palaeontographica. Abt 648 649 A, 105, 166–206. Hofker, J., 1957. Foraminiferen der Oberkreide von Nordwestdeutschland und 650 651 Holland, Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 103(3), 652 312-340. 653 Holbourn, A., Kuhnt, W., Soeding, E., 2001. Atlantic paleobathymetry, paleoproductivity and paleocirculation in the late Albian: the benthic foraminiferal 654 record, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 170(3-4), 171-196. 655 House, M.R., 1993. Geology of the Dorset Coast. Geologists' Association Field 656 Guide 22 [2<sup>nd</sup> Edition], Geologists' Association, London, 164pp. 657 658 Jannin, F., 1967. Les 'Valvulineria' de l'Albien de l'Aube, Revue de Micropaléontologie 10(3), 153-178. 659 Jukes-Browne, A.J., Hill, W., 1900. The Cretaceous Rocks of Britain. Volume 1, The 660 Gault and Upper Greensand of England. Memoirs of the Geological Survey, 661 Great Britain, 499pp. 662 King, C., Bailey, H.W., Burton, C.A., King, A.D., 1989. Cretaceous of the North Sea. . 663 In: Jenkins, D.G., Murray, J.W. (eds), Stratigraphical Atlas of Fossil 664 Foraminifera [2<sup>nd</sup> Edition], Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester, 272–417. 665 Lake, R.D., Young, B., Wood, C.J., Mortimore, R.N., 1987. Geology of the country 666 around Lewes. Memoir for 1:50 000 geological sheet 319 (England & Wales), 667 HMSO, London, 117pp. 668 669 Lamplugh, G.W., 1921. The age of the Shenley Limestone (Correspondence). 670 Geological Magazine 58, 140.

671 672 673	Lamplugh, G.W., 1922. On the junction of the Gault and Lower Greensand near Leighton Buzzard (Bedfordshire). Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society, London 78, 1–80.
674 675 676	Lamplugh, G.W., Walker, J.F., 1903. A fossiliferous band at the top of the Lower Greensand near Leighton Buzzard. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society, London 59, 234–265.
677 678 679	Loeblich, A.R. Jr, Tappan, H., 1964. Protista 2. Sarcodina, chiefly 'Thecamoebians' and Foraminiferida. In: Moore, R.C. (Ed.), Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Part C, 1, 1–510; 2, 511–900, Lawrence, Kansas.
680 681	Loeblich, A.R. Jr, Tappan, H., 1987. Foraminiferal genera and their classification.  Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 2 volumes.
682 683 684	McMillan, I.K., 2008. Aragonitic-walled benthic foraminifera (Epistomina) in the Cretaceous 'mudbelt' off southern Africa, and post-mortem cross-shelf transport of tests. African Natural History 4, 17–24.
685 686	Magniez-Jannin, F., 1975. Les Foraminifères de l'Albien de l'Aube : Paléontologie, Stratigraphie, Écologie. Cahiers de Paléontologie, 351pp.
687 688 689 690	Magniez-Jannin, F., 1981. Découverte de <i>Planomalina buxforfi</i> (GANDOLFI) et d'autres foraminifères planctoniques inattendus dans l'Albien Supérieur d'Abbots Cliff (Kent, Angleterre): consequences paléogeographique et biostratigraphiques. Geobios 14, 91–97.
691 692 693	Magniez-Jannin, F., 1983. Essai de corréllation des zones de foraminifères de l'Albien stratotypique (Aube, France) avec les zones d'ammonites, Geobios, 16(4), 405–418.
694 695 696	Makrides, M., 1979. Foraminifera of the Upper Cretaceous Mzamba Formation, Transkei, southern Africa. Unpublished MSc Thesis, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
697 698	Malapris, M., 1965. Les Gavelinellidae et formes affines du gisement Albien de Courcelles (Aube). Revue de Micropaléontologie 8(3), 131–150

Malapris-Bizouard, M., 1967. Les Lingulogavelinelles de l'Albien inférieur et moyen 699 700 de l'Aube, Revue de Micropaléontologie 10(2), 128-150. 701 Malapris-Bizouard, M., 1974. Les premières Gavelinelles du Crétacé inférieur. Bulletin d'Information des Geologues du Bassin de Paris, No. 40, 9-23. 702 703 Marie, P., 1938. Sur quelques Foraminifères nouveaux ou peu connus du Crétacé du bassin de Paris, Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France, Ser. 5, 8, 91-104. 704 705 Marie, P., 1941. Les Foraminfères de la Craie à Belemnitella mucronata du Bassin 706 de Paris. Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, n.ser. 707 12(1), 1–296. Mitchell, S.F., 1995, Lithostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the Hunstanton 708 Formation (Red Chalk, Cretaceous) succession at Speeton, North Yorkshire. 709 England. Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological Society 50, 285–303. 710 Meyn, H., Vespermann, J., 1994. Taxonomische Revision von Foraminiferen der 711 Unterkreide SE-Niedersachsens nach Roemer (1839, 1841, 1842), Koch (1851) 712 und Reuss (1863). Senckenbergiana Lethaea 74, 49-272. 713 714 Morter, A.A., Wood, C.J., 1983. The biostratigraphy of Upper Albian-Lower Cenomanian Aucellina in Europe. Zitteliana 10, 515-529. 715 716 Moullade, M., 1960. Sur quelques Foraminifères du Crétacé inférieur des Baronnies (Drôme), Revue de Micropaléontologie 3, 131-142. 717 Moullade, M., 1966. Étude stratigraphique et micropaléontologique du Crétacé 718 Inférieur de la 'Fosse Vocontienne', Documents des Laboratoires de Géologie 719 720 de la Faculté des Sciences de Lyon 15(1-2), 369pp. 721 Neagu, T., 1965. Albian Foraminifera of the Rumanian Plain, Micropaleontology 11(1), 1–38. 722 723 Orbigny, A.D. d', 1840. Mémoire sur les Foraminifères de la craie blanche du basin de Paris. Mémoire de la Société Gélogique de France, Paris 4(1), 1–51. 724

Owen, H.G. 1958. Lower gault sections in the Northern Weald and the zoning of the 725 726 Lower Gault. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 69(3), 148–165. 727 Owen, H.G., 1963. Some sections in the Lower Gault of the Weald. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 74, 35-54. 728 729 Owen, H.G., 1971a. Middle Albian Stratigraphy in the Anglo-Paris Basin. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), (Geology), Supplement 8, 1–164. 730 731 Owen, H.G., 1971b. The stratigraphy of the Gault in the Thames Estuary. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 82, 187–207. 732 Owen, H.G., 1972. The Gault at its junction with the Woburn Sands in the Leighton 733 Buzzard area, Bedfordshire. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 83, 734 735 287-312. Owen, H.G., 1976. The stratigraphy of the Gault and Upper Greensand of the Weald. 736 Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 86, 475–498 [for 1975]. 737 Owen, H.G., 1984. The Albian Stage: European Province chronology and ammonite 738 zonation. Cretaceous Research, 5, 329-344. 739 Owen, H.G., 1995. The upper part of the Carstone and the Hunstanton red chalk 740 (Albian) of the Hunstanton Cliff, Norfolk. Proceedings of the Geologists' 741 Association 106, 171–181. 742 Oxford, M.J., Hart, M.B., Watkinson, M.P., 2000. Micropalaeontological 743 744 investigations of the Oxford Clay – Corallian succession of the Dorset Coast. Geoscience in South-West England 10, 9-13. 745 746 Oxford, M.J., Hart, M.B., Watkinson, M.P., 2004. Foraminiferal characterisation of mid-Upper Jurassic sequences in the Wessex Basin (United Kingdom). Rivista 747 748 Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia 110, 209–218. 749 Price, F.G.H., 1874a. On the Gault of Folkestone. Quarterly Journal of the 750 Geological Society, London 30, 342–366.

Price, F.G.H., 1874b. On the Lower Greensand and Gault of Folkestone. 751 752 Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 4, 135–150. 753 Price, F.G.H., 1876. Excursion to Sandgate and Folkestone. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 4, 554–556. 754 755 Price, F.G.H., 1879. The Gault. London, Taylor & Francis Ltd. 756 Price, R.J., 1977. The stratigraphical zonation of the Albian sediments of northwest Europe, as based on foraminifera. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 757 758 88(2), 65–91. Reuss, A.E., 1846. Die Versteinerungen der böhmischen Kreideformation 2, 1–148. 759 Reuss, A.E., 1851. Die Foraminiferen und Entomostraceen des Kreidemergels von 760 761 Lemberg. Naturwissenschaftliche Abhandlungen, Wien 4(1), 17–52. 762 Reuss, A.E., 1860. Die Foraminiferen der Westphalischen Kreideformation. Sitzungsberichte der K. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Mathematisch-763 764 Naturwissenschaftliche Classe 40, 147–238. Reuss, A.E., 1862. Entwurf einer systematischen Zusammenstellung der 765 Foraminiferen. Sitzungsberichte der K. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, 766 Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe 44, 355–396 (1861). 767 768 Reuss, A.E., 1863. Die Foraminiferen des norddeutschen Hils und Gault. 769 Sitzungsberichte der K. Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe 46, 5-100. 770 771 Revets, S.A., 1996. The generic revision of five families of rotaliine foraminifera. Part I, the Bolivinitidae, Part II, the Anomalinidae, Alabaminidae, Cancrisidae and 772 773 Gavelinellidae. Cushman Foundation, Special Publication 34, 113pp. + 31 pls. Revets, S.A., 2001. The revision of *Gavelinella Brotzen*, 1942, *Berthelina Malapris*, 774 1965 and Lingulogavelinella Malapris, 1965. Cushman Foundation, Special 775

Publication 37, 110pp. + 20 pls.

803	Taxonomic notes on foraminifera discussed in the text (alphabetical order).
802	
801	
800	Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 106, 271–280.
799	Formation (Middle & Upper Albian) in the BGS Arlesey Borehole, Bedfordshire
798	Woods, M.A., Wilkinson, I.P., Hopson, P.M., 1995. The stratigraphy of the Gault
797	Proceedings of the Geologists' Association, London 59, 91–112.
796	Williams-Mitchell, E., 1948. The zonal value of Foraminifera in the Chalk of England
794 795	Vénec-Peyré, MT., 2004. Beyond frontiers and time: the scientific and cultural heritage of Alcide d'Orbigny. Marine Micropaleontology 50, 149–159.
793	
792	Vénec-Peyré, MT., 2002. Les travaux micropaléontologiques d'Alcide d'Orbigny. – Comptes Rendus Palevol 1, 449–459.
790 791	Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 174, 199–220.
789 790	Tyszka, J., Thies, A., 2001. <i>Spiroplectinata</i> , key benthic foraminifer genus for palaeoceanographic reconstruction of the Albian Lower Saxony Basin.
787 788	Tyszka, J., 2006. Taxonomy of Albian Gavelinellidae (Foraminifera) from the Lower Saxony Basin, Germany. Palaeontology 49(6), 1303–1334.
785 786	Palaeontographical Society (Monograph), 787pp.
705	Spath, L.F., 1923–43. A monograph of the Ammonoidea of the Gault, Parts 1–16,
784	Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 108, 287–292.
783	Chamberlain's Barn Quarry, Leighton Buzzard, Bedfordshire, England.
782	Smart, P.J., 1997. The basal gault and Gault-Woburn Sands junction beds in
781	Ussher Society <b>7</b> (4), 408–412.
780	Albian-Cenomanian boundary in south-west England. Proceedings of the
779	Simmons, M.D., Williams, C.L., Hart, M.B.,1991. Sea-level changes across the
778	borehole, East Anglia. Unpublished MSc Thesis, University of Hull, 186pp.
777	Sandman, R.I., 1986. Middle and Upper Albian Foraminiferida of the Mundford 'C'

804	Loeblich and Tappan (1987), even though a number of subsequent revisions (e.g.,
806 807	Revets 1996, 2001) have been proposed. As the author's names for these taxa are part of the formal name of the fossil, they are not included in the reference list.
808	
809	Benthic taxa :
810 811	Arenobulimina advena (Cushman, 1936); Hagenowella advena Cushman, 1936, p. 43, pl. 6, fig. 21a-b.
812	Arenobulimina anglica Cushman, 1936, p. 27, pl. 4, fig. 8a-b.
813 814	Arenobulimina chapmani Cushman, 1936; Bulimina preslii Chapman, 1892, p. 755, pl. 12, fig. 4 (non Reuss).
815	Arenobulimina frankei Cushman, 1936, p. 27, pl. 4, fig. 5a-b.
816 817	Arenobulimina macfadyeni Cushman, 1936; Bulimina orbignyi Chapman, 1892, p. 754, pl.12, fig. 2 (non Reuss).
818 819	Arenobulimina sabulosa (Снарман, 1892); Bulimina preslii Reuss var. sabulosa Снарман, 1892, р. 755, pl.12, fig. 5.
820 821	Ataxophragmium depressa (Perner); Bulimina depressa Perner, 1892, p. 55 (p. 27), pl. 3, fig. 3a-b.
822 823	Berthelina baltica (BROTZEN, 1942); Gavelinella baltica BROTZEN, 1942, p. 50, pl. 1, fig. 7.
824 825	Berthelina cenomanica (BROTZEN, 1942); Cibicidoides (Cibicides) cenomanica BROTZEN, 1942, p. 54, pl. 2, fig. 2a–c.
826 827	Berthelina intermedia (BERTHELIN, 1880); Anomalina intermedia BERTHELIN, 1880, p. 67, pl. 4, fig.14a-b.
828 829	Citharinella pinnaeformis (CHAPMAN, 1894); Frondicularia pinnaeformis CHAPMAN, 1894, p.185, pl.3, figs 9-11.
830 831	Conorboides lamplughi (SHERLOCK, 1914); Pulvinulina lamplughi SHERLOCK, 1914, р. 290, pl.19, fig.16.
832 833	Dorothia filiformis (BERTHELIN, 1880); Gaudryina filiformis BERTHELIN, 1880, p. 25, pl.1, fig. 8.

- Dorothia gradata (BERTHELIN, 1880); Gaudryina gradata BERTHELIN, 1880, p. 24, pl. 1, fig. 6a-b.
- 836 Eggerellina mariae TEN DAM, 1950, pp.15-16, pl.1, fig.17.
- Epistomina spinulifera (REUSS, 1862); Rotalia spinulifera REUSS, 1862, p. 93, pl.13,
- 838 figs 3a-5c.
- 839 Flourensina intermedia TEN DAM, 1950, p.15, pl.1, fig.16.
- 840 Flourensina mariae Carter and Hart, 1977, pp, 9-10, pl. 2, fig. 6.
- 841 Gaudryina austiniana (Cushman, 1936); Gaudryina (Siphogaudryina) austinana
- 842 CUSHMAN, 1936, p. 10, pl. 2, fig. 6a-b.
- 843 Gavelinella tormarpensis BROTZEN, 1942, p. 52, pl. 1, fig. 6.
- Hoeglundina carpenteri (REUSS, 1862); Rotalia carpenteri REUSS, 1862, p. 94, pl.13,
- 845 fig. 6a-c.
- 846 Hoeglundina chapmani (тем Dам, 1948); Pulvinulina caracolla (ROEMER), Снармам,
- 847 1898, p. 7, pl.1, fig. 9.
- Lingulogavelinella albiensis MALAPRIS, 1965, p. 140, pl. 4, figs 5-8, pl. 5.
- Lingulogavelinella globosa (BROTZEN); Anomalinoides globosa BROTZEN, 1945, p. 58,
- 850 pl. 2, fig. 6a-c.
- 851 Lingulogavelinella jarzevae (VASILENKO, 1954); Cibicides (Cibicides) jarzevae
- 852 VASILENKO, 1954, p.121, pl.17, fig. 3a-c.
- Marssonella oxycona (Reuss, 1860); Gaudryina oxycona Reuss, 1860, p. 229, pl. 12,
- 854 fig. 3.
- 855 *Marssonella ozawai* Cushman, 1936, p. 43, pl. 4, fig. 10a-b.
- Nodobacularia nodulosa (Chapman, 1891); Nubecularia nodulosa Chapman, 1891, p.
- 857 573, pl. 9, fig. 2.
- 858 Plectina cenomana Carter and Hart, 1977, pp. 12-13, pl. 2, fig. 9.
- 859 Plectina mariae (FRANKE, 1928); Gaudryina ruthenica REUSS var. mariae FRANKE,
- 860 1928, p. 146, pl. 13, fig. 15a-b.
- Pseudotextulariella cretosa (Cushman, 1932); Textulariella cretosa Cushman, 1932,
- p.97, pl.11, figs 17-19.
- Quinqueloculina antiga (Franke, 1928); Miliolina venusta Karrer; Chapman, 1891,
- p. 9, pl. 9, figs 5, 6.

865 Sagrina asperula Chapman, 1896, p. 115, pl. XII, fig. 1. Spiroloculina papyracea Burrows, Sherborn & Bailey, 1890, p. 551, pl. 8, fig. 1. 866 Spiroplectinata annectens (PARKER & JONES, 1863); Textularia annectens PARKER & 867 JONES, 1863, p. 92, text.fig. 1. 868 Tritaxia macfadyeni Cushman, 1936, p. 3, pl. 1, fig. 6a-b. 869 870 Tritaxia pyramidata REUSS, 1862, pp. 32, 88, pl.1, fig. 8a-c. 871 Vaginulina mediocarinata TEN DAM, 1950, pp. 36-37, pl. 3, fig. 3. 872 873 Planktic Taxa: Dicarinella hagni (Scheibnerova); Praeglobotruncana hagni Scheibnerova, 1962, 874 pp. 219, 225-226, text-fig. 6a-c. 875 Dicarinella imbricata (Mornod); Globotruncana imbricata Mornod, 1949, p. 589, text-876 877 fig. 5, Illa-d. Favusella washitensis (CARSEY, 1926); Globigerina washitensis CARSEY, 1926, p. 44, 878 879 pl. 7, fig.10, pl. 8, fig. 2. 880 Globigerinelloides bentonensis (MORROW, 1934); Anomalina bentonensis MORROW, 1934, p. 201, pl. 30, fig. 4a-b. 881 Guembilitria harrisi TAPPAN, 1940, p. 115, pl. 19, fig. 2a-b. 882 883 Helvetoglobotruncana helvetica (Bolli); Globotruncana helvetica Bolli, p. 226, pl. 9, figs 6-8, text-fig. 1 (9-12). 884 Heterohelix globulosa (Ehrenberg); Textularia globulosa Ehrenberg, 1840, 885 Heterohelix moremani (Cushman, 1938); Guembelina moremani Cushman, 1938, p. 886 10, pl. 2, figs 1-3. 887 888 Marginotruncana pseudolinneiana Pessagno, 1967, p. 310, pl. 65, figs 24-27, pl. 76, figs 1-3, 24-27. 889 Muricohedbergella delrioensis (CARSEY); Globigerina cretacea D'ORBIGNY var. 890 delrioensis CARSEY, 1926, p. 43. 891 892 Muricohedbergella infracretacea (GLAESSNER); Globigerina infracretacea GLAESSNER, 893 1937, p. 28, text-fig. 1.

894 895	Muricohedbergella planispira (TAPPAN); Globigerina planispira TAPPAN, 1940, p. 12, pl. 19, fig. 12.
896 897	Praeglobotruncana delrioensis (PLUMMER); Globrotalia delrioensis PLUMMER, 1931, p. 199, pl. 13, fig. 2a-c.
898 899	Rotalipora cushmani (MORROW); Globorotalia cushmani MORROW,1934, p. 199, pl. 31, figs 2, 4.
900 901	Thalmanninella globotruncanoides (Sigal); Rotalipora globotruncanoides Sigal, 1948, p. 100, pl. 1, fig. 4, pl. 2, figs 3-5.
902 903	Thalmanninella greenhornensis (MORROW); Globorotalia greenhornensis MORROW, 1934, p. 199, pl. 39, fig. 1.
904 905	Thalmanninella reicheli (Mornod); Globotruncana (Rotalipora) reicheli Mornod, 1949, p. 583, text-fig. 5, IVa-c.
906	
907	Figure Captions:
908	Figure 1. Outline geological map of South-East England showing the localities
909	mentioned or discussed in the text.
910	Figure 2. Original zonation of the mid-Cretaceous of Southern England using
911	foraminifera (Carter <i>in</i> Bruckshaw et al., 1961) reproduced with the permission
912	of the Institution of Civil Engineers. Zones 3–6 represent the Gault Clay
913	Formation. Some key taxa are identified with both the original names (applied
914	by D.J. Carter), updated where possible, but others remain indeterminate. (1)
915	Marginotruncana pseudolinneiana; (2) Helvetoglobotruncana helvetica; (3)
916	Dicarinella imbricata; (4) Heterohelix globulosa; (8) Dicarinella hagni; (10)
917	Lingulogavelinella globosa (Biorostella sp., C.T.P.); (12) Tritaxia pyramidata
918	and T. macfadyeni; (13) Spiroplectinata annectens; (14) Flourensina mariae
919	(Flourensina crenata C.T.P.); (15) Rotalipora cushmani; (16) Pleurostomella sp.
920	26 C.T.P.; (17) Plectina cenomana; (18) Plectina mariae; (19) Pernerina sp.
921	C.T.P., now Arenobulimina depressa; (20) Spiroloculina papyracea; (21)
922	Thalmanninella reicheli and T. greenhornensis; (22) Praeglobotruncana sp. cf.
923	P. delrioensis; (23) Thalmanninella globotruncanoides; (24) Arenobulimina
924	advena: (25) spicules of hexactinellid sponges: (26) Flourensina intermedia: (27)

925	Marssonelia ozawai; (28) Quinqueloculina antiqua; (29) Dorotnia filiformis; (30)
926	Muricohedbergella spp.; (31) Nodobacularia nodulosa; (32) Arenobulimina
927	chapmani; (33) Dentalina sp. 38 C.T.P.; (35) Epistomina spinulifera; (37)
928	Sagrina asperula; (38) Conorboides lamplughi; (39) Citharinella pinnaeformis;
929	(40) Ammobaculoides sp. C.T.P.; (43) Hoeglundina chapmani; (44)
930	Hoeglundina carpenteri.
931	Figure 3. Copt Point succession of the Gault Clay Formation showing the lithology,
932	ammonite zonation, foraminiferal zonation and the distribution of the various
933	superfamilies (sensu Loeblich and Tappan, 1964).
934	Figure 4. Distribution of stratigraphically significant foraminifera in the Glyndebourne
935	Borehole (based on Hart and Harris, 2012). It is interesting to note that the
936	range of Favusella washitensis appears much longer than in the Copt Point
937	succession and this is reflected in the expanded Zone 4/5 transitional interval
938	picked out by the benthic foraminifera.
939	