

2022

# The development of a midwifery competency document for mechanical induction of labour

Keates, Amy

Keates, A. (2022). 'The development of a midwifery competency document for mechanical induction of labour', South West Clinical School Journal, 2 (1).

<http://hdl.handle.net/10026.1/19731>

---

<https://doi.org/10.24382/d662-ma58>

South West Clinical School Journal

University of Plymouth

---

*All content in PEARL is protected by copyright law. Author manuscripts are made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the details provided on the item record or document. In the absence of an open licence (e.g. Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher or author.*

Special Edition

#400WORDS: CHIEF NURSE RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP EVIDENCE IMPLEMENTATION PROJECTS

## The development of a midwifery competency document for mechanical induction of labour

Amy Keates<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Midwife. Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust, TRURO, TR1 3LJ, UK.

Email: [amy.keates1@nhs.net](mailto:amy.keates1@nhs.net)

Submitted for publication: 23 February 2022

Accepted for publication: 02 March 2022

Published: 28 March 2022

### Background

Induction of labour (IOL) is the process of artificially stimulating the uterus to start labour, offered for maternal or fetal indications, and is generally conducted when the risks of continuing pregnancy outweigh the benefits (NICE, 2021). Rates of IOL have significantly increased over the last decade to 34% in England in 2020-2021 (NHS Digital, 2021). In December 2021 rates in Royal Cornwall (RCHT) were 38%. Methods of IOL include pharmacological and mechanical. The primary method within RCHT is pharmacological using vaginal prostaglandins. Mechanical methods are sometimes used (cervical catheters), sited by obstetricians, however this not common practice. Changes to NICE guidelines in 2021 mean that IOL rates are likely to increase, and mechanical IOL will be used more commonly within RCHT. This will increase the workflow within maternity services, and it is necessary to make changes to practice so midwives can conduct mechanical, in addition to pharmacological IOL.

### Review of the evidence

A systematic search of CINAHL and Medline databases was completed using the terms 'induction of labour', 'mechanical', 'experience' and 'training'. Boolean logic was used to refine the search. Abstracts and titles were screened for relevance. This yielded a systematic review by the Cochrane collaboration (de Vaan et al, 2019) of methods of IOL up to 2019, addressing 113 trials. The authors found the evidence concluded that mechanical IOL is probably as effective as IOL with vaginal prostaglandins, however mechanical IOL with a balloon catheter had a favourable safety profile with reduced uterine hyperstimulation, serious neonatal morbidity or neonatal death and reduced neonatal admission. The review found little or no difference in caesarean section rate. There is a move towards mechanical IOL with one study (Harkness et al 2021) finding that 64/92 NHS Trusts surveyed offer mechanical IOL.

## Project plan

This project will use the JBI Evidence Implementation Model (Porritt et al, 2020) to develop a competency document for the further training required for midwives to carry out mechanical IOL. Stakeholders are identified, who including midwives, obstetricians and women, through Maternity Voices Partnership. Contact has been made with another NHS Trust with comparable demographics that has completed a similar project, to share learning and benchmark outcomes. Current Trust guidelines and the latest patient information leaflet will be reviewed and updated through the Maternity Forum, alongside the development and formal approval of the training competency document for mechanical IOL, the central focus of this project.

## References

- De Vann, M.D.T., ten Eikelder, M.L.G., Jozwiak, M., Palmer, K.R., Davies-Tuck, M., Bloemenkamp, K.W.M., Mol, B.J., Boulvain, M. (2019) 'Mechanical methods for induction of labour', Available at: [https://www.cochrane.org/CD001233/PREG\\_mechanical-methods-induction-labour#:~:text=Clinical%20trials%20comparing%20mechanical%20methods%20used%20for%20third,to%20inject%20fluid%20into%20the%20extra-amniotic%20space%20%28EASI%29](https://www.cochrane.org/CD001233/PREG_mechanical-methods-induction-labour#:~:text=Clinical%20trials%20comparing%20mechanical%20methods%20used%20for%20third,to%20inject%20fluid%20into%20the%20extra-amniotic%20space%20%28EASI%29) (Accessed 19/01/2022)
- Harkness, M., Yuill, C., Cheyne, H., Stock, S.J. and McCourt, C., 2021. Induction of labour during the COVID-19 pandemic: a national survey of impact on practice in the UK. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 21(1), pp. 1-8.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2021) 'Inducing Labour NG207', Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng207> (Accessed 04/02/2022).
- NHS Digital (2021) 'NHS Maternity Statistics 2021 Hospital Episode Statistics and Maternity Services Data Set Excel Tables', Available at: <https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Ffiles.digital.nhs.uk%2FFC%2FA56BDE%2Fhosp-epis-stat-mat-summary-tables-2020-21.xlsx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK> (Accessed 09/02/2022)
- Porritt, K., McArthur, A., Lockwood, C., Munn, Z. (2020) *JBI Handbook for Evidence Implementation*, Available at <https://implementationmanual.jbi.global> (Accessed 19/12/2021).



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) licence (see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>) which permits others to copy and redistribute in any medium or format, remix, transform and on a non-commercial basis build on this work, provided appropriate credit is given. Changes made need to be indicated, and distribution must continue under this same licence.